

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/802,189 03/17/2004		03/17/2004	Youichi Okubo	09253-008001	3432	
26161	7590	11/16/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON PC				SWARTHOU	SWARTHOUT, BRENT	
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·			2612		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ν	
~N	
W	

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/802,189	OKUBO, YOUICHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Brent A. Swarthout	2612		

Advisory Action	10/802,189	2,189 OKUBO, YOUICHI					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brent A. Swarthout	2612					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ss				
THE REPLY FILED 26 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	HE REPLY FILED <u>26 October 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th		s later. In no				
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	•	WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extens	sion fee have				
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	atutory period for reply originally set in the	final Office action; or (2) as	s set forth in (b)				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compared to the state of t	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two months	s of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.				
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	be filed within the time period set to	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered be	cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in below 		educina or cimplifyina th	na issues for				
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially re	sadeing or simplifying th	ie issues ioi				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	time also file at a managed and a	- 4 liu-				
the non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	J				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an ex	planation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•	•					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut before or on the date of filing a N	lotice of Appeal will not	be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a							
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowand	e because:				
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		— <u>A</u>				
13. Other:	_	But State	\mathcal{A}				
	BRENT A. SWARTHOUT PRIMARY EXAMINER	Brent A Swarthout Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2612	w				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Saheki teaches desirability of switching from one lpower level to another based on whether a vehicle is moving or not. Robinson also teaches desirability of increasing power level from a non-zero sleep mode power to a greater power when it is desired to transmit data from a tire sensing device in a vehicle. One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to reduce power level to a non-zero sleep mode level as suggested by Robinson in a device as disclosed by Saheki, in order to allow power to be increased more readily once vehicle motion was detected, instead of having to power up from an off condition.